Monte Carlo methods for dose homogeneity simulations in cell nuclei exposed to alpha particles under different setup conditions

Adrianna Tartas

Biomedical Physics Division, Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland

9th Annual Loma Linda Workshop on Particle Imaging and Radiation Treatment Planning



Our studies







²⁴¹Am isotope

Sr-90 0.1 µCi 28.8 Yrs Radioactive Material

4,4

March 2019

Spectrum Techniques USNRC & State License Exempt Quantity









Motivation

LET values have a strong impact.

<u>Our issues: differences in experimental results</u> publications

- A major problem associated with alpha exposure setups lacking a collimator is dose heterogeneity inside the irradiated cell nuclei.
- Because the cell response is dependent on the LET, shifts in
 - problems with comparing results with different





	Source	Cell line	RBE	LET	Collima
Edwards et al. 1980	Cm-242 (4.9 MeV)	human blood (CA)	17.9	1550 MeV cm² /gm	stationa
Goodhead et al. 1991	Pu-238 (5.5 MeV)			121 keV/µm	stationa
Neti et al. 2004	Am-241	human fibroblasts (AG1522)	7.6 ± 1.6	132 keV/µm	stationa
Esposito et al. 2009	Cm-244 Am-241	human fibroblasts		122 keV/um, 125 keV/µm	no collima
Thompson et al. 2019	Pu-238 (3.3 MeV)	lung cells		120 keV/um	stationa
Tracy et al. 2015	Pu-238	V79-4 Chinese hamster cells	10.2 9.0	131 keV/um, 87 keV/um, 112-201 kev/um	
Griffiths et al. 1994	Pu-238 (5.5 MeV)	Lymphocyte Progenitor Cells	1.5 - 4	121 keV/um	stationa
Raju et al. 1974	Pu-238	kidney cells	2.4	140 keV/um	stationa







Poisson distribution

Brzozowska et al., 2020









Live cell imaging







Created in **BioRender.com**



Materials and methods



PARTRAC code and Geant4 simulations (ver. 10.06.p01)



Human peripheral blood lymphocytes

Doses: 1.22 Gy and 2.33 Gy



Two geometries: simple and more complex

Deposited energy distributions



Alpha particle track numbers



Geometries of the setup

Α	source	Am (0.4 μm) Au (0.1 μm)	B	air	С	dish	medium (6 μm) cells (2 μm)
							mylar (3 µm)
dish		mylar (3 µm) medium (6 µm) cells (2 µm)			air (1 mm)		ir (1 mm)
			dish	medium (6 µm) cells (2 µm) mylar (3 µm)		collim	ator (5 mm)
						a	ir (1 mm)
	glass	(150 µm)	source	Au (0.1 μm) Am (0.4 μm)		source	Au (0.1 μm) Am (0.4 μm)

(A) cells irradiated from above (top-down setup), (B) cells irradiated from below (bottom-up setup) without a collimator and (C) cells irradiated from below through the stable or rotating collimator

Dosimetry with radiochromic films

Image from students' project report

GAFchromic[™] film (Ashland Inc., Bridgewater, NJ, USA) irradiated with alpha particles from Am-241 source

Collimator rotation scheme

LET distributions in cell nuclei

(A) cells irradiated from above (top-down setup), (B) cells irradiated from below (bottom-up setup) without a collimator and (C) cells irradiated from below through the stable or rotating collimator.

Tartas et al., 2023

LET distributions in cell nuclei

Exposure setup

Top-down setup, no collimator Bottom-up setup, no collimator Bottom-up setup with a collimator Bottom-up setup with a rotating collimator

(kev/µm)	(kev/ μ m)	(Gy)	(Gy/min)
135 ± 35	123	1.22 ± 0.04	0.64
120 ± 34	107	1.22 ± 0.04	0.86
110 ± 9	110	1.22 ± 0.20	0.046
110 ± 9	110	1.22 ± 0.14	0.046

LET distributions in cell nuclei

Exposure setup

Top-down setup, no collimator Bottom-up setup, no collimator Bottom-up setup with a collimator Bottom-up setup with a rotating collimator

		(0)	(0),
135 ± 35	123	1.22 ± 0.04	0.64
120 ± 34	107	1.22 ± 0.04	0.86
110 ± 9	110	1.22 ± 0.20	0.046
110 ± 9	110	1.22 ± 0.14	0.046

Types of ionizing radiation

Bushberg et al., 2012

Dose distributions across cell dishes

(A) cells irradiated from above (top-down setup), (B) cells irradiated from below (bottom-up setup) without a collimator, (C) cells irradiated from below through the collimator and (D) cells irradiated from below through a rotating collimator.

The poor dose homogeneity in cell nuclei irradiated through a stationary collimator.

The dose homogeneity can be improved by wobbling the collimator. But it is technically demanding making the construction of an alpha exposure facility challenging and costly.

The average LET parameter is not a sufficient quantity to characterize an alpha beam.

Working with cells requires precision. Slight change in the setup, such as modifying the height of the medium can cause differences in the delivered dose and LET.

We observe the average response of the cells, so we must be aware of the dosimetry.

International Journal of Radiation Biology

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/irab20

Modeling of dose and linear energy transfer homogeneity in cell nuclei exposed to alpha particles under various setup conditions

Adrianna Tartas, Mateusz Filipek, Marcin Pietrzak, Andrzej Wojcik & Beata Brzozowska

To cite this article: Adrianna Tartas, Mateusz Filipek, Marcin Pietrzak, Andrzej Wojcik & Beata Brzozowska (2023): Modeling of dose and linear energy transfer homogeneity in cell nuclei exposed to alpha particles under various setup conditions, International Journal of Radiation Biology, DOI: <u>10.1080/09553002.2023.2161659</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/09553002.2023.2161659

FACULTY OF PHYSICS UNIVERSITY

OF WARSAW

Beata Brzozowska Mateusz Filipek Beata Pszczółkowska Monika Mietelska NATIONAL CENTRE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH ŚWIERK

Marcin Pietrzak

KEN KEO KEO KEO KEO KEO KEO

Andrzej Wojcik